IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

IN RE ELECTRONIC DATA SYSTEMS CORP. "ERISA" LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: ALL ACTIONS

CASE NO. 6:03-MD-1512 LEAD CASE: 6:03-CV-126 ("ERISA")

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT APPROVING PROPOSED SETTLEMENT

This *Court* conducted a *Fairness Hearing* on August 6, 2008, on whether to approve the *Settlement* of the above captioned *Action* under the terms of the *Settlement Agreement* dated February 14, 2008, and contained as Exhibit A to the Order preliminarily approving the *Settlement*.

This *Court* finds that the *Settlement Class*, defined as "(a) all participants and former participants in the *Plan* and their beneficiaries for whose individual accounts the Plan purchased and/or held interests in EDS stock through the EDS Company Stock Fund (f/k/a the EDS Stock Fund or the GM Class E Stock Fund) at any time during the period September 7, 1999 through and including October 9, 2002, and the beneficiaries of such participants and former participants; and (b) all participants and former participants in the *Plan* and their beneficiaries for whose individual accounts the *Plan* purchased EDS stock through the EDS Company Stock Fund at any time during the period October 20, 2001 through and including November 18, 2002," is appropriately certified as a class action under *Fed R. Civ. P.* 23(b)(1)(A) for the ERISA claims and *Fed. R. Civ. P.* 23(b)(1)(B) for the Securities Act claims, and that all requirements to class certification under *Fed. R.*

Civ. P. 23 have been met. Excluded from the Settlement Class, however, are EDS, any other Defendant, the Defendants' Immediate Family, heirs, successors or assigns of any Defendant. This Court further finds that this Settlement is fair, adequate, and reasonable both to the Settlement Class and to the Plan, based on the report of the Independent Fiduciary dated March 10, 2008, and this Court's review, and:

HEREBY ORDERS, ADJUDGES AND DECREES and enters FINAL JUDGMENT approving this *Settlement* pursuant to section 2.1.4 of the *Settlement Agreement* and in accordance with the terms of the *Settlement Agreement*. Without in any way affecting the finality of this judgment, this *Court* retains continuing jurisdiction over the *Plan of Allocation* and over the *Structural and Equitable Relief* as provided for in Section 9 of the *Settlement Agreement*.

SO ORDERED this 6 day of August, 2008

HONORABLE LEONARD DAVIS United States District Judge